UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
X

ORDER 12-MD-2413 (RRM)

*In re*: FRITO LAY NORTH AMERICA, INC. "ALL NATURAL" LITIGATION

-----X

On April 4, 2013, the parties in this multi-district litigation appeared before the Honorable Roslynn R. Mauskopf to address defendants' proposed motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint. See Letter Requesting Premotion Conference (Mar. 5, 2013), Electronic Case Filing Document Entry ("DE") #7; see also Amended Complaint, DE # 18 in 12-CV-408. At this conference, Judge Mauskopf denied defendants' motion to stay all discovery pending the resolution of their motion to dismiss. Judge Mauskopf further directed that, to the extent that the parties disagreed as to the scope and timing of certain discovery, the parties were to confer with each other and thereafter contact the undersigned magistrate judge for resolution of any discovery disputes.

Accordingly, the Court directs the parties to meet and confer as to any outstanding discovery disputes by **April 22, 2013.** If the parties are not able to resolve their disputes, they should file, by **April 23, 2013**, a joint status letter, via ECF, outlining their disagreements. The parties should include any relevant discovery requests or responses as attachments to this joint letter. An in-person initial scheduling conference will be held on **April 30, 2013 at 4:00 p.m.** in Courtroom #13C-S.

In addition to addressing any current discovery disputes among the parties, the Court will consider, at the April 30<sup>th</sup> conference, the general plan for discovery, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and 16(b). To this end, by **April 22, 2013**, counsel should discuss the matters

specified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and 16(b). Counsel should also discuss: (1) the scope of any

anticipated electronic discovery, the preservation of electronically stored data, and the cost of

locating, maintaining and producing that data, and (2) whether any party will rely upon expert

testimony and, if so, whether counsel are able to reach an agreement on how material

exchanged between counsel and any expert witness will be treated, and in particular whether

draft expert reports and written and electronic communications between expert witnesses and

counsel will be retained. Counsel shall then jointly complete and file the attached

questionnaire by April 23, 2013. By that same date, the parties shall also exchange the names

and, if known, the addresses and telephone numbers of persons who are likely to have

knowledge of discoverable information relevant to disputed facts, and comply with the

automatic disclosure requirements in Rule 26(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

Brooklyn, New York

**April 11, 2013** 

ROANNE L. MANN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Case Name: In re FRITO LAY NORTH AMERICA, INC. "ALL NATURAL" LITIGATION Docket #: 12-MD-2413 (RRM)

## INITIAL CONFERENCE QUESTIONNAIRE

1.	Date for completion of automatic disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), if not yet made:
2.	If additional interrogatories beyond the 25 permitted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a) are needed, the maximum <i>additional</i> ones by: plaintiff(s) and defendant(s)
3.	Maximum number of requests for admission by: plaintiff(s) and defendant(s)
4.	Number of depositions by plaintiff(s) of: parties non-parties
5.	Number of depositions by defendant(s) of: parties non-parties
6.	Time limit per deposition (if more than 7 hours permitted by Fed. R. Civ. P. 30 (d)(1) is required):
7.	Date for completion of factual discovery:
8.	Number of expert witnesses of plaintiff(s): medical non-medical
	Date for expert disclosure, including report(s):
9.	Number of expert witnesses of defendant(s): medical non-medical
	Date for expert disclosure, including report(s):
10.	Dates for completion of expert depositions (if desired):
11.	Time for amendment of the pleadings by plaintiff(s) and by defendant(s)
12.	Number of proposed additional parties to be joined by plaintiff(s) and defendant(s) and time for completion of joinder (including service):
13.	Types of contemplated dispositive motions:
14.	Dates for filing/serving contemplated dispositive motions:
15.	Have counsel reached any agreements regarding electronic discovery? If so, please described at the initial conference.
16.	Have counsel reached any agreements regarding disclosure of experts' work papers (including drafts) and communications with experts? If so, please describe at the initial conference.
17.	Do the parties consent to trial before a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)? [Does not affect right to jury trial] Yes No